

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | 71 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/882,777 | 06/14/2001 | 7 : | James E. Audia | 002010-685 | 1280 | |
| 7590 10/08/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Gerald F. Swiss, Esq. | | | | KIFLE, BRUCK | | |
| BURNS, DOAN | IE, SWECKER & MA | ATHIS, L | L.P. | | | |
| P.O. Box 1404 | _ , | , | <u>-</u> | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Alexandria, VA | 22313-1404 | | | 1624 | 10 | |
| | | 1 | | DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003 | , 19 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N . Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/882,777 | AUDIA ET AL. | | | | |
| Ť | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Bruck Kifle, Ph.D. | 1624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars n the cover sheet with the c | orresp ndence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply to a places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai | unt of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action; | ion | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 September 2003</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | • | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | eparate, timely filed amendmen | t | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | | • | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | 1 | | | | |
| 10 Outer | | Such Kifle, Ph.D. | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624 | | | | |

